THE ALPHAS COMPANY, INC.,	
Plaintiff,	MAGISTRATE JUDGE
v. AGRICULTURAL EXPRESS OF AMERICA, INC. d/b/a CLIPPER CONTROLLED LOGISTICS, Defendant.	CIVIL ACTION NO. RECEIPT # 38/2 AMOUNT \$ SUMMONS ISSUED. 1 LOCAL RULE 4.1 WAIVER FORM MCF ISSUED BY DPTY. CLK. 1 DATE
NOTICE OF RE	

TO: The Honorable Judges of the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

- 1. The Petitioner, Clipper Exxpress Company, formerly known as Agricultural Express of America, Inc. d/b/a Clipper Controlled Logistics ("Petitioner" or "Clipper"), respectfully shows, upon information and belief, that it is the named defendant in the above-entitled civil action brought by the Plaintiff, The Alphas Company, Inc. ("Alphas"), in the Suffolk Superior Court Department, Suffolk County, Massachusetts, Civil Action No. 05-1357 (the "Civil Action").
- 2. The Complaint in the Civil Action, **Exhibit A** hereto, was filed on or about April 7, 2005, and Petitioner was served with the Summons and Complaint on April 8, 2005, as reflected in **Exhibit B** hereto.
- 3. Upon information and belief, no further proceedings have been had in the Civil Action, and the time of Petitioner within which to file a notice of removal has not expired.

- 4. <u>Jurisdiction</u>. Based on the allegations contained in the Complaint, the above entitled action is a civil suit which may be removed to this Court by the Petitioner pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a) and 1441(a) and (b), in that Plaintiff Alphas alleges claims involving an Act of Congress regulating commerce, to wit: claims in excess of \$10,000 for loss and damage to certain shipments of Plaintiff's property that were transported in interstate commerce from points in California to Chelsea, Massachusetts. Complaint, ¶¶ 4, 5, 7, 11, 12, 14, 20, 21 and 25. Plaintiff's shipments and its claims for loss and damage, therefore, are governed by the Carmack Amendment to the ICC Termination Act of 1995 ("ICCTA"), 49 U.S.C. § 14706. Complaint ¶ 40. The amount of damages Plaintiff seeks to recover against Petitioner in the Civil Action under the bill of lading for the shipment of September 16, 2003 is \$23,417.30, Complaint ¶ 10; the amount under the bill of lading for the shipment of May 20, 2004 is \$12,781, Complaint ¶ 19; and the amount under the bill of lading for the shipment of June 17, 2004 is \$3,892.50, Complaint ¶ 28. The action is therefore removable to this Court because (1) the amounts of damages sought under the bills of lading for the first two shipments exceeds \$10,000 each pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337(a) and (b), and (2) supplemental jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) lies as to the claim under the bill of lading for the third shipment (which seeks damages less than \$10,000) because that claim is so related to the first two claims in this action that they form a part of the same case or controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution.
- 5. Venue. Petitioner desires to remove this action to the district court of the United States for the district in which the action is now pending, to wit, the District of Massachusetts. 28 U.S. C. § 1446(a).

6. After the filing of this Notice of Removal of this action to the United States

District Court for the District of Massachusetts, (a) written notice of the filing of this Notice will

be given by the attorneys for the Petitioner to the attorney for the Plaintiff as provided by law,

(b) a certified copy of this Notice will be filed with the Clerk of the Suffolk Superior Court

Department, Suffolk County Massachusetts, and (c) certified copies of all pleadings on file in the said Suffolk Superior Court Department, Civil Action will be filed with this Court.

- 7. Petitioner has a good and sufficient defense to the Plaintiff's claims in this action.
- 8. No previous application for the relief sought herein has been made to this or any other court.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner, Clipper Exxpress Company, formerly known as Agricultural Express of America, Inc. d/b/a Clipper Controlled Logistics, prays that this action be removed from the Suffolk Superior Court Department, Suffolk County, Massachusetts to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts.

CLIPPER EXXPRESS COMPANY f/k/a
AGRICULTURAL EXPRESS OF AMERICA, INC.
d/b/a CLIPPER CONTROLLED LOGISTICS,

By its attorney,

April 27, 2005

Wesley S. Chused, BBO # 083520

LOONEY & GROSSMAN LLP

101 Arch Street Boston, MA 02110 (617) 951-2800

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 27, 2005, I served a copy of the foregoing pleading upon all parties hereto electronically, via facsimile or by mailing copies thereof, via first class mail, properly addressed to:

Andrew J. Fay, Esq. Tobin, Sullivan, Fay & Grunebaum Wellesley Office Park 60 William Street Wellesley, MA 02481 Fax (781) 237 1101

Wesley 8. Chused

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, SS.

SUFFOLK SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT CIVIL ACTION NO. 05-1357

THE ALPHAS COMPANY, INC.
Plaintiff,

٧.

AGRICULTURAL EXPRESS OF AMERICA, INC. d/b/a CLIPPER CONTROLLED LOGISTICS Defendant.

COMPLAINT

PARTIES

- The Alphas Company, Inc. ("Alphas") is a corporation with a principal place of business at 87-89 New England Produce Center, Chelsea, Massachusetts.
- Agricultural Express of America, Inc. d/b/a Clipper Controlled Logistics ("CCL") is a
 Delaware corporation with a principal place of business at 9014 Heritage Parkway, Suite

 300, Woodridge, Illinois.

NATURE OF THE CLAIMS

3. This is an action by Alphas to recover for the loss incurred by the failure of CCL to deliver in good condition three shipments of produce to Alphas in Chelsea, Massachusetts.

FACTS

The Pepper Shipment

- 4. On or about September 16, 2003 CCL received a load of peppers in good shipping condition from the shipper, Prime Time Sales, LLC, in California.
- Thereafter, CCL transported the shipment of peppers from California to the consignee,
 Alphas, in Chelsea, Massachusetts.

- 6. CCL was obligated by the contractual terms of the shipment to maintain the load at a constant temperature of 45 degrees Fahrenheit in order to preserve the freshness of the peppers and prevent decay thereof and failed to do so.
- Upon arrival at Alphas the peppers were rotten and decayed.
- 8. Further, the temperature recorder for the load indicated that during the six (6) day trip from California to Chelsea, Massachusetts the temperature of the load of peppers was at all times significantly higher than 45 degrees Fahrenheit.
- A United States Department of Agriculture inspection subsequently confirmed the rotten and decayed condition of the load.
- 10. As a result, Alphas has been damaged in the amount of \$23,417.30.

The Lettuce Shipment

- 11. On or about May 20, 2004 CCL received a load of lettuce in good shipping condition from the shipper, Nunes Company, Inc. in California.
- Thereafter, CCL transported the shipment of lettuce from California to the consignee,
 Alphas, in Chelsea, Massachusetts.
- 13. CCL was obligated by the contractual terms of the shipment to maintain the load at a constant temperature of 34 to 36 degrees Fahrenheit in order to preserve the freshness of the lettuce and prevent decay thereof and failed to do so.
- Upon arrival at Alphas the lettuce was rotten and decayed.
- 15. A United States Department of Agriculture inspection subsequently confirmed the load rotten and decayed condition of the load.
- 16. CCL assured Alphas and the shipper that CCL would reimburse Alphas in full for the rotten load.

- 17. However, CCL has failed and refused to do so.
- 18. Despite the fact that CCL agreed to pay this claim and has no defense to it and in disregard of known contractual arrangements and in an effort to secure benefits for itself and use this claim as leverage with respect to the other claims set forth herein, CCL continues to refuse to pay this claim.
- 19. As a result, Alphas has been damaged in the amount of \$12,781.

The Honeydew Melon Shipment

- 20. On or about June 17, 2004 CCL received a load of honeydew melons in good shipping condition from the shipper, Bear Mountain Cool, in California.
- 21. Thereafter, CCL transported the shipment of honeydew melons from California to the consignee, Alphas, in Chelsea, Massachusetts.
- 24. CCL was obligated by the contractual terms of the shipment to maintain the load at a constant temperature of 38 degrees Fahrenheit in order to preserve the freshness of the honeydew melons and prevent decay thereof and failed to do so.
- 25. Upon arrival at Alphas the honeydew melons were rotten and decayed.
- 26. Further, the temperature recorder for the load indicated that during the six (6) day trip from California to Chelsea, Massachusetts the temperature of the load of peppers was at all times significantly higher than 38 degrees Fahrenheit.
- 27. A United States Department of Agriculture inspection subsequently confirmed that the rotten and decayed condition of the load.
- 28. As a result, Alphas has been damaged in the amount of \$3,892.50.

COUNT I – BREACH ON CONTRACT

31. The plaintiff repeats and re-alleges paragraphs I through 28 as if set forth fully herein.

- 32. Defendant contracted to deliver the produce referenced above in good condition and failed to do so.
- 33. Defendant contracted to ship the produce at a specific temperature and failed to do so.
- 34. As a result of defendant's breaches of contract, the plaintiff sustained damages.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff, The Alphas Company, Inc., hereby demands judgment against the defendant, Agricultural Express of America, Inc. d/b/a Clipper Controlled Logistics, for the total amount of plaintiff's damages, attorneys fees, interest and costs.

COUNT II - NEGLIGENCE

- 35. The plaintiff repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 34 as if set forth herein.
- 36. The defendant had a duty to ship the produce with reasonable care.
- 37. The defendant failed to ship the produce with reasonable care.
- 38. By reason of the defendant's failure to ship the produce with reasonable care, the plaintiff sustained damages.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff, The Alphas Company, Inc., hereby demands judgment against the defendant, Agricultural Express of America, Inc. d/b/a Clipper Controlled Logistics, for the total amount of plaintiff's damages, attorneys fees, interest and costs.

COUNT III - CARMACK AMENDMENT

- 39. The plaintiff repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 38 as if set forth fully herein.
- 40. CCL is strictly liable to Alphas pursuant to the Carmack Amendment for Alphas' actual loss. See 49 U.S.C. § 14706.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff, The Alphas Company, Inc., hereby demands judgment against the defendant, Agricultural Express of America, Inc. d/b/a Clipper Controlled Logistics, for the total amount of plaintiff's damages, attorneys fees, interest and costs.

COUNT III - M.G.L. c. 93A

- 41. The plaintiff repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 38 above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 42. CCL is engaged in trade or commerce.
- 43. The conduct of CCL, as alleged above, constitutes a violation of the Consumer Business Protection Act, M.G.L. c.93A, §§2 and 11.
- 42. The above-described conduct was undertaken willfully and knowingly.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff, The Alphas Company, Inc., hereby demands judgment against the defendant, Agricultural Express of America, Inc. d/b/a Clipper Controlled Logistics, for the total amount of plaintiff's damages, attorneys fees, interest and costs plus double or treble damages.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted,

The Alpha Companies, Inc.

By its attorney,

Andrew (. Fay, Esquire (BBO #550058)

Tobin, Sullivan, Fay & Grunebaum

Wellesley Office Park

60 William Street

Wellesley, MA 02481

(781)237-0877

Tobin, Sullivan, Fay & Grunebaum

ATTORNETS AT LAW
60 WILLIAM STREET
WELLESLEY, MASSACHUSETTS 02481
TELEPHONE (781)237-0877
FACSIMILE (781)237-1101
Email: Afay@tobinsullivan.com

April 7, 2005

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

President
Agricultural Express of America, Inc. d/b/a
Clipper Controlled Logistics
9014 Heritage Parkway, Suite 300
Woodridge, IL 60517-4939

Re: The Alphas Company, Inc. v. Clipper Controlled Logistics

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed please find a Summons and a Complaint, which are being served upon you in accordance with G.L. c.223A, the Massachusetts Long Arm Statute.

114

Andrew J. Fay

AJF/nlb

Enclosure

cc: Mr. John Alphas

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

SUPFOLK. SS.



SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT **CIVIL ACTION**

	No. 05-1357
The Alphas Company, Inc.	
v.	
Clipper Controlled Logis	tics , Defendant(s)

SUMMONS

To the above-named Defendant:

Andrew J. Fay, Esq., You are hereby summoned and required to serve upon. 60 William St., Wellesley, MA 0248 an answer to

plaintiff's attorney, whose address is... the complaint which is herewith served upon you, within 20 days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You are also required to file your answer to the complaint in the office of the Clerk of this court at Boston either before service upon plaintiff's attorney or within a reasonable time thereafter.

Unless otherwise provided by Rule 13(a), your answer must state as a counterclaim any claim which you may have against the plaintiff which arises out of the transaction or occurrence that is the subject matter of the plaintiff's claim or you will thereafter be barred from making such claim in any other action.

Witness, Suzanne V. DelVecchio, Esquire, at Boston, thed	ay o	Í
, in the year of our Lord two thousand		

Michael Joseph Donovan

- 1. This summons is issued pursuant to Rule 4 of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 2. When more than one defendant is involved, the names of all defendants should appear in the caption. If a separate summons is used for each defendant. each should be addressed to the particular defendant.
- 3. TO PLAINTIFFS ATTORNEY: PLEASE CIRCLE TYPE OF ACTION INVOLVED
 - (1) TORT (2) MOTOR VEHICLE TORT (3) CONTRACT (4) EQUITABLE RELIEF (5) OTHER

From-ABC LEGAL DEPT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Ag	ricult	ıral Express of Am	EACH SIDE ONLY) The Alphas erica, Inc. d/b/a Clip	Company, Inc. v. per Controlled Logistics
COV	ER SHEET.	(SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(A)(BASED UPON THE NUMBERED NATU	JRE OF SUIT CODE LISTED ON THE CIVIL
	1.			
_			RDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT.	
>	II.	195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444 740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 8	8, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, <i>7</i> 20, 730, 40*, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950.	*Also complete AO 120 or AO 121 for patent, trademark or copyright cas
A ·	III.	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350 380, 385, 450, 891.	0, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 0, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371,	
*	IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871,), 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, , 875, 900.	
	V.	150, 152, 153.		
N/ HAS A	A PRIOR AC		PARTIES AND BASED ON THE SAME	F MORE THAN ONE PRIOR RELATED CAS OF THE FIRST FILED CASE IN THIS COURT
OUR	T?		XES	NO NO
OES	THE COM	PLAINT IN THIS CASE QUEST		NACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING THE
PUBLI	C INTERES	ST? (SEE 28 USC §2403)	ON THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF A	NACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING THE
F SO,	IS THE U.S	S.A. ORAN OFFICER, AGENT	XXX OR EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PART	NO Y?
			YES	NO
S THIS	S CASE RE C §22847	EQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND	DETERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT	OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE
			XXX	NO
	CHITCHE	PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EX H OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GC ~ (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D))	JVERNMENTAL AGENCIES") PERIN	ES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE NG IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE
			YES	MCX
	A.	IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION	DO_ALL OF THE NON-GOVERNMENT	FAL PARTES RESIDE?
		EASTERN DIVISION	CENTRALXIVISION	XWRSTERWXPAASION
	В.	IF NO, IN WHICH DIVISION D GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES	OO THE MAJORITY OF THE PLAINTIFI S, RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS F	ES OR THE ONLY PARTIES. EYEL HOUSE
		EASTERN DIVISION	CENTRAL DIVISION	WESTERN DIVISION
SE T	YPE OR PE			
	"S NAME_	Wesley S. Chused		
RESS.	Loone	y & Grossman LLP,	101 Arch Street, Bosto	n. MA 02110
		17-951-2800		
EPHON	IE NO. D	17-331-2800		

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEEDNID			
			DEFENDANT			
THE ALPHAS COMPANY, INC.			AGRICULTUR	AGRICULTURAL EXPRESS OF AMERICA, INC.		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff 25025			d/b/a CLIP	d/b/a CLIPPER CONTROLLED LOGISTICS		
	e of First Listed Plaintiff EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF (County of Residence	e of First Listed Defendant	88888	
Andrew J. Fay,	Esa.	CASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASE	S ONLY)	
, ,	1·		NOTE: IN LA	AND CONDEMNATION CASES,		
			LAN	D INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nam	e, Address, and Telephone Num	ber)	Attorneys (If Known			
Tobin, Sullivan	, Fay & Gruneba	un, 60 William		Chused, BBO #08	22520	
60 William St.,	Welleslev. MA	02481	,	Chasea, pb0 #08	3520	
II. BASIS OF JURISI			LOUREY &	Grossman, 101 Ar	ch St., Boston, MA	
	•	in One Box Ordy)	(For Diversity Cases Only	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	-02110 (617) 951-280 S(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Federal Question		(or Errorally Cases Olly	PTF DEF	and One Box for Defendant)	
	(U.S. Government	nt Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	☐ I ☐ I Incorporated or F	PTF DEF Principal Place ☐ 4 ☐ 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government	A			of Business In Ti	iis State	
Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity		Citizen of Another State	☐ 2 ☐ 2 Incorporated and	Principal Place 5 5 5	
	(Indicate Citizen:	ship of Parties in Item III)		of Business In	Another State	
			Citizen or Subject of a	☐ 3 ☐ 3 Foreign Nation	a . a .	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Dia 430) 2 -		Foreign Country	— 1 oreign HallOff		
CONTRACT		nly) ORTS	LEON BOLLET			
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
🗂 120 Marine	310 Airplane	362 Personal Injury	☐ 610 Agriculture ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug	1 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product	Med. Malpractice	625 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking	
150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 	of Property 21 USC 881		XX 450 Commerce	
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal	630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	460 Deportation	
151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Injury Product	 650 Airline Regs. 	☐ 830 Patent	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY	660 Occupational	3 840 Trademark	☐ 480 Consumer Credit	
(Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product	370 Other Fraud	Safety/Health 690 Other		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	
of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending380 Other Personal	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/	
160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage	710 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (1395ff)	Exchange	
☐ 190 Other Contract☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	385 Property Damage	☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt, Relations	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410	
196 Franchise	Injury	Product Liability	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	D 864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions	
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	& Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts	
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	441 Voting 442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate	790 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment 443 Housing/	Sentence Habeas Corpus:	791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act	
☐ 240 Torts to Land☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	☐ 530 General	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	☐ 895 Freedom of Information	
290 All Other Real Property	444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty		20 030 7009	Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination	
	Employment	550 Civil Rights			Under Equal Access	
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities	555 Prison Condition			to Justice	
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights				950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	Civil ragins	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
	an "X" in One Box Only)					
□1 Original M2 2 Re	emoved from	Remanded from	Reinstated or 5 Trans	ferred from D 6 Multidiosei	Appeal to District Judge from	
Proceeding St	ate Court	Appellate Court	Recovered (specific	G. Strate	ct Magistrate	
SIX CATACO	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you are fi	iling (Do not cite jurisdiction	al statutes unless diversity)	Judgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N Brief description of co					
	Action for	Tinterstate fi	reight damage un	der Carmack Amen	dment, 49 U.S.C.	
VII. REQUESTED IN	☐ CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK AND	fd	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P.	23	40,000		demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASE	(S)			JURY DEMAND:	Yes 🗆 No	
IF ANV	(See instructions)	JUDGE		_	· 	
N/A				DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE (/ 9 7)		SIGNATURE OF AT POR				
サメリビラ		MC.	le, 1. Office	- 20 Cm		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		10 62	Tipo Colum	.0.20		
0.5.07			1			
RECEIPT # AN	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAC BIRG	ore.	
			- JOBGE	MAG. JUDG	t .	